Revista de Matemática: Teoría y Aplicaciones 2009  $\mathbf{16}(1)$ : 127–136

CIMPA - UCR ISSN: 1409-2433

# MINIMIZATION OF THE FIRST EIGENVALUE IN PROBLEMS INVOLVING THE BI-LAPLACIAN

Claudia Anedda\* Fabrizio Cuccu<sup>†</sup> Giovanni Porru<sup>‡</sup>

Recibido/Received: 20 Feb 2008 — Aceptado/Accepted: 25 Jul 2008

#### Abstract

This paper concerns the minimization of the first eigenvalue in problems involving the bi-Laplacian under either homogeneous Navier boundary conditions or homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Physically, in case of N=2, our equation models the vibration of a non homogeneous plate  $\Omega$  which is either hinged or clamped along the boundary. Given several materials (with different densities) of total extension  $|\Omega|$ , we investigate the location of these materials inside  $\Omega$  so to minimize the first mode in the vibration of the corresponding plate.

**Keywords:** bi-Laplacian, first eigenvalue, minimization.

### Resumen

Este artículo trata de la minimización del primer autovalor en problemas relativos al bi-Laplaciano bajo condiciones de frontera homogéneas de tipo Navier o Dirichlet. Físicamente, en el problema bi-dimensional, nuestra ecuacin modela la vibración de una placa inhomogénea  $\Omega$  fija con goznes a lo largo de su borde. Dados varios materiales (de diferentes densidades) y extensión total  $|\Omega|$ , investigamos cuál debe ser la localización de tales materiales en la placa para minimizar el primer modo de su vibración.

Palabras clave: bi-Laplaciano, primer autovalor, minimización.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35P15, 47A75, 49K20.

<sup>\*</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Universitá di Cagliari Via Ospedale 72, 09124 Cagliari, Italy. E-Mail: canedda@unica.it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Misma dirección que C. Anedda. E-Mail: fcuccu@unica.it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Misma dirección que C. Anedda. E-Mail: porru@unica.it

# 1 Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and let  $g_0$  be a measurable function satisfying  $0 \leq g_0 \leq M$  in  $\Omega$ , where M is a positive constant. To avoid trivial situations, we always assume  $g_0 \not\equiv 0$  and  $g_0 \not\equiv M$ . Define  $\mathcal{G}$  as the family of all measurable functions defined in  $\Omega$  which are rearrangements of  $g_0$ . Consider the following eigenvalue problems

$$\Delta^2 u = \lambda g u$$
, in  $\Omega$ ,  $u = \Delta u = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ , (1)

and

$$\Delta^2 v = \Lambda g v, \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \quad v = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega,$$
(2)

where  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda_g$ ,  $\Lambda = \Lambda_g$  are the first eigenvalues and u, v are the corresponding eigenfunctions. The operator  $\Delta^2$  stands for the usual bi-Laplacian, that is  $\Delta^2 u = \Delta(\Delta u)$ . The first eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of problem (1) is obtained by minimizing the associate Rayleigh quotient

$$\lambda = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta z)^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} gz^2 dx} : \quad z, \ \Delta z \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad z \not\equiv 0 \right\}. \tag{3}$$

The first eigenvalue  $\Lambda$  of problem (2) is obtained by minimizing the quotient

$$\Lambda = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta z)^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} gz^2 dx} : \quad z \in H_0^2(\Omega), \quad z \not\equiv 0 \right\}. \tag{4}$$

It is well known that the inferior is attained in both cases [14]. The minimum of (3) satisfies problem (1) in the weak sense, that is

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta z \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} guz \, dx, \quad \forall z : \ z, \Delta z \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

The minimum of (4) satisfies problem (2) in the sense

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta v \Delta z \ dx = \Lambda \int_{\Omega} gvz \ dx, \quad \forall z \in H_0^2(\Omega).$$

By regularity results (see [1]) the solutions to problems (1) and (2) belong to  $H^4_{loc}(\Omega)$ . In this paper we investigate the problems

$$\min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \lambda_g, \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \Lambda_g.$$
(5)

Let us give a motivation for the study of these problems in case of N=2. Physically, our equations model the vibration of a non homogeneous plate  $\Omega$  which is either hinged or clamped along the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Given several materials (with different densities) of total extension  $|\Omega|$ , we investigate the location of these materials inside  $\Omega$  so to minimize the first mode in the vibration of the plate. The corresponding problem for second order equations has been discussed in several papers, see for example [6], [7], [9].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect some definitions and known results. In Section 3 we investigate the problems (5) proving results of existence and results of representation of minimizers. In case  $\Omega$  is a ball we prove uniqueness for both problems.

## 2 Preliminaries

Denote with |E| the Lebesgue measure of the (measurable) set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ . Given a measurable function  $g_0(x)$  defined in  $\Omega$  we say that g(x), defined in  $\Omega$ , belongs to the class of rearrangements  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}()_1$  if  $|\{x \in \Omega : g(x) \geq \beta\}| = |\{x \in \Omega : g_0(x) \geq \beta\}| \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We make use of the following results.

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $g \in L^1(\Omega)$  and let  $u \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Suppose that every level set of u (that is, sets of the form  $u^{-1}(\{\alpha\})$ ), has measure zero. Then there exists an increasing function  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(u)$  is a rearrangement of g.

*Proof.* The assertion follows by Lemma 2.9 of [4].

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the set of rearrangements of a fixed function  $g_0 \in L^r(\Omega)$ , r > 1,  $g_0 \not\equiv 0$ , and let  $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$  denote the weak closure of  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $L^r(\Omega)$ . If  $u \in L^s(\Omega)$ , s = r/(r-1),  $u \not\equiv 0$ , and if there is an increasing function  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(u) \in \mathcal{G}$  then

$$\int_{\Omega} g \, u \, dx \le \int_{\Omega} \phi(u) \, u \, dx \quad \forall g \in \overline{\mathcal{G}},$$

and the function  $\phi(u)$  is the unique maximizer relative to  $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ .

*Proof.* The assertion follows by Lemma 2.4 of [4].

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the set of rearrangements of a fixed function  $g_0 \in L^r(\Omega)$ , r > 1,  $g_0 \not\equiv 0$ , and let  $u \in L^s(\Omega)$ , s = r/(r-1),  $u \not\equiv 0$ . There exists  $\overline{g} \in \mathcal{G}$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} g \, u \, dx \le \int_{\Omega} \overline{g} \, u \, dx \quad \forall g \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}.$$

*Proof.* It follows by Lemma 2.4 of [4]. See also [5].

Next we recall a well known rearrangement inequality. For u non negative in  $\Omega$ ,  $u^{\sharp}$  denotes the decreasing Schwarz rearrangement of u; that is,  $u^{\sharp}$  is defined in  $\Omega^{\sharp}$ , the ball centered in the origin with measure equal to  $|\Omega|$ , is radially symmetric, decreases as |x| increases, and satisfies

$$|\{x \in \Omega : u(x) \ge \beta\}| = |\{x \in \Omega^{\sharp} : u^{\sharp}(x) \ge \beta\}| \quad \forall \beta \ge 0.$$

If  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is non-negative and if  $u^{\sharp}$  is the decreasing Schwarz rearrangement of u then  $u^{\sharp} \in H_0^1(\Omega^{\sharp})$  and the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega^{\sharp}} |\nabla u^{\sharp}|^2 \, dx \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \tag{6}$$

holds. The case of equality in (6) has been considered in [3]. We have

**Lemma 2.4** Let  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be non-negative, and suppose equality holds in (6). If

$$|\{x \in \Omega^{\sharp}: \nabla u^{*}(x) = 0, \ 0 < u^{*}(x) < \sup_{\Omega} u(x)\}| = 0$$

then u is a translate of  $u^{\sharp}$ .

*Proof.* See Theorem 1.1 of [3] or the monograph [13].

## 3 Main results

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded smooth domain and let M > 0 be a real number. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the family of all functions defined in  $\Omega$  which are rearrangements of a given function  $g_0$  with  $0 \leq g_0(x) \leq M$ ,  $g_0(x) \not\equiv 0$ ,  $g_0(x) \not\equiv M$ . For  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ , let  $\lambda_g$  be the first eigenvalue of problem (1), and let  $\Lambda_g$  be the first eigenvalue of problem (2). We investigate the problems

$$\min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \lambda_g$$
, and  $\min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \Lambda_g$ .

Recalling (3) and (4) we can formulate the previous problems as

$$\min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \lambda_g = \min \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta z)^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} g \ z^2 dx} : \ g \in \mathcal{G}, \ z \in H_0^1(\Omega), \ \Delta z \in H_0^1(\Omega) \right\}, \tag{7}$$

and

$$\min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \Lambda_g = \min \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta z)^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} g \, z^2 dx} : g \in \mathcal{G}, \ z \in H_0^2(\Omega) \right\}. \tag{8}$$

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $0 \le g_0(x) \le M$ ,  $g_0(x) \not\equiv 0$ ,  $g_0(x) \not\equiv M$ , and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the class of all rearrangements of  $g_0$ . Then

a) there exists  $\overline{g} \in \mathcal{G}$  such that

$$\lambda_{\overline{g}} = \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \lambda_g;$$

b) there exists  $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{G}$  such that

$$\Lambda_{\tilde{g}} = \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \Lambda_g.$$

*Proof.* We prove first part a). Let

$$I = \inf_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \lambda_g = \lim_{i \to \infty} \lambda_{g_i} = \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u_i)^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} g_i u_i^2 dx},\tag{9}$$

where  $u_i = u_{g_i}$  is the eigenfunction corresponding to  $g_i$  normalized so that

$$\int_{\Omega} u_i^2 dx = 1.$$

We may assume that the sequence  $\{\lambda_{g_i}\}$  is decreasing. By (9) and the latter equation we get

$$\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u_i)^2 dx \le \lambda_{g_1} M. \tag{10}$$

On the other side, since  $u_i$  vanishes on  $\partial\Omega$ , by Lemma 9.17 of [11] we have

$$||u_i||_{H^2(\Omega)} \le C||\Delta u_i||_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

with C independent of i. It follows that the norms  $||u_i||_{H^2(\Omega)}$  and  $||\Delta u_i||_{L^2(\Omega)}$  are equivalent. This fact and (10) imply that the sequence  $\{u_i\}$  is bounded in the  $H^2(\Omega)$  norm and some subsequence (still denoted  $\{u_i\}$ ) converges weakly in  $H^2(\Omega)$  to a function  $\overline{u}$ . We

can also assume that  $\{u_i\}$  converges strongly to  $\overline{u}$  in  $L^{2+\epsilon}(\Omega)$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Furthermore, since  $\{g_i\}$  is bounded in  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , it must contain a subsequence (still denoted  $\{g_i\}$ ) converging weakly to  $\eta \in L^r(\Omega)$  for any r > 1. We have

$$\int_{\Omega} g_i u_i^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \eta \overline{u}^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} (g_i - \eta) \overline{u}^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} g_i (u_i^2 - \overline{u}^2) dx.$$

We find

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} (g_i - \eta) \overline{u}^2 dx = 0,$$

because  $\overline{u}^2 \in L^s(\Omega)$  for some s > 1 and  $g_i \to \eta$  weakly in  $L^r(\Omega)$  for r = s/(s-1). Moreover,

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} g_i(u_i^2 - \overline{u}^2) dx = 0.$$

The latter result can be proved by using Lebesgue's theorem as follows. Since  $u_i \to \overline{u}$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , we have (up to a subsequence)

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} g_i(u_i^2 - \overline{u}^2) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega,$$

and

$$g_i|u_i^2 - \overline{u}^2| \le M(\psi^2 + \overline{u}^2),$$

for some integrable function  $\psi^2$ . Indeed, since  $u_i$  converges in  $L^2(\Omega)$  one can find  $\psi \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that  $u_i(x) \leq \psi(x)$  a.e. for some subsequence of  $u_i$  [10]. Hence,

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} g_i u_i^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} \eta \, \overline{u}^2 dx. \tag{11}$$

By Lemma 2.3 we can find  $\overline{g} \in \mathcal{G}$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \eta \, \overline{u}^2 dx \le \int_{\Omega} \overline{g} \, \overline{u}^2 dx. \tag{12}$$

On the other side, from the inequality

$$0 \le \int_{\Omega} (\Delta(u_i - \overline{u}))^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} (\Delta u_i)^2 dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_i \Delta \overline{u} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\Delta \overline{u})^2 dx$$

and the weak convergence of  $\{u_i\}$  to  $\overline{u}$  in  $H^2(\Omega)$  we find

$$\liminf_{i \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} (\Delta u_i)^2 dx \ge \int_{\Omega} (\Delta \overline{u})^2 dx.$$

By using the latter result together with (11) and (12) we have

$$I = \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u_i)^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} g_i u_i^2 dx} \ge \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta \overline{u})^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} \eta \overline{u}^2 dx} \ge \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta \overline{u})^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} \overline{g} \overline{u}^2 dx}.$$
 (13)

Our minimizing sequence  $u_i$  satisfies (in a weak sense)

$$\Delta(\Delta u_i) = \lambda_{q_i} g_i u_i, \quad \Delta u_i \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

If we multiply by  $-\Delta u_i$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ , after simplification we find

$$\|\nabla(\Delta u_i)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \lambda_{g_i} \|g_i u_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Since  $\lambda_{g_i}$  is decreasing,  $0 \leq g_i \leq M$  and  $\|u_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$  we find that  $\|\nabla(\Delta u_i)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \lambda_{g_1} M$ . As a consequence, since  $\Delta u_i \in H^1_0(\Omega)$  we also have  $\Delta \overline{u} \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ . Therefore, if  $\lambda_{\overline{g}}$  is the (first) eigenvalue corresponding to  $\overline{g}$  in problem (1), and if  $u_{\overline{g}}$  is a corresponding eigenfunction then by (3) we have

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta \overline{u})^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} \overline{g} \ \overline{u}^2 dx} \ge \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u_{\overline{g}})^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} \overline{g} \ u_{\overline{g}}^2 dx} = \lambda_{\overline{g}} \ge I.$$

By the latter result and (13) we must have  $I = \lambda_{\overline{g}}$ . Part a) of the theorem is proved. The proof of part b) is similar. Define

$$\tilde{I} = \inf_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \Lambda_g = \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta v_i)^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} g_i v_i^2 dx},$$

where  $v_i = v_{g_i}$  is the eigenfunction corresponding to  $g_i$  normalized so that

$$\int_{\Omega} v_i^2 dx = 1.$$

Of course,  $\{g_i\}$  is not, in general, the same as for part a). Arguing as in the previous case we find that  $v_i$  is bounded in the norm of  $H^2(\Omega)$ . Therefore, a subsequence (still denoted  $\{v_i\}$ ) converges weakly in  $H^2(\Omega)$  to a function  $\tilde{v} \in H^2_0(\Omega)$ . We can also assume that  $\{v_i\}$  converges strongly to  $\tilde{v}$  in  $L^{2+\epsilon}(\Omega)$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Furthermore,  $\{g_i\}$  must contain a subsequence (still denoted  $\{g_i\}$ ) converging weakly to some  $\zeta \in L^r(\Omega)$  for any r > 1. Hence,

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} g_i v_i^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} \zeta \, \tilde{v}^2 dx.$$

By Lemma 2.3 we can find  $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{G}$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \zeta \, \tilde{v}^2 dx \le \int_{\Omega} \tilde{g} \, \tilde{v}^2 dx.$$

Moreover we have

$$\liminf_{i \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} (\Delta v_i)^2 dx \ge \int_{\Omega} (\Delta \tilde{v})^2 dx.$$

Using the last three results we find

$$\tilde{I} = \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta v_i)^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} g_i v_i^2 dx} \ge \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta \tilde{v})^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} \zeta \ \tilde{v}^2 dx} \ge \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta \tilde{v})^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} \tilde{g} \ \tilde{v}^2 dx}.$$
(14)

Recall that  $\tilde{v} \in H_0^2(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{G}$ . If  $\Lambda_{\tilde{g}}$  is the (first) eigenvalue corresponding to  $\tilde{g}$  in problem (2), and if  $v_{\tilde{g}}$  is a corresponding eigenfunction then, using (4) we have

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta \tilde{v})^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} \tilde{g} \ \tilde{v}^2 dx} \ge \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta v_{\tilde{g}})^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} \tilde{g} \ v_{\tilde{g}}^2 dx} = \Lambda_{\tilde{g}} \ge \tilde{I}. \tag{15}$$

By (14) and (15) we must have  $\tilde{I} = \Lambda_{\tilde{q}}$ . The theorem is proved.

We prove the so called Euler-Lagrange equation for solutions of our minimization problems. Actually, there is a difference between the two cases. Concerning problem (1), we know that the first eigenfunction does not change sign, and we can assume that it is positive in  $\Omega$ . Concerning problem (2), there are domains  $\Omega$  such that the corresponding first eigenfunction is sign changing, and there are domains such that the corresponding first eigenfunction is positive: see [12] and references therein.

In what follows we write  $\{g(x) > 0\}$  instead of  $\{x \in \Omega : g(x) > 0\}$ .

**Theorem 3.2** a) Suppose  $\overline{g}$  is a solution to problem (7). There exists an increasing function  $\phi$  such that

$$\overline{g} = \phi(u_{\overline{q}}).$$

b) Suppose  $\overline{g}$  is a solution to problem (8) and that  $\Omega$  is such that the corresponding first eigenfunction of problem (2) is positive. There exists an increasing function  $\varphi$  such that

$$\overline{g} = \varphi(u_{\overline{q}}).$$

*Proof.* If  $u_{\overline{g}}$  is the positive normalized eigenfunction corresponding to the minimizer  $\overline{g}$  of problem (7), for any  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  we have

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u_{\overline{g}})^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} \overline{g} u_{\overline{g}}^2 dx} \le \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u_{\overline{g}})^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} g u_{\overline{g}}^2 dx}.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\Omega} g u_{\overline{g}}^2 dx \le \int_{\Omega} \overline{g} u_{\overline{g}}^2 dx \tag{16}$$

for all  $q \in \mathcal{G}$ .

On the other side, we know that the function  $u_{\overline{q}}$  satisfies the eigenvalue equation

$$\Delta^2 u_{\overline{g}} = \lambda \, \overline{g} u_{\overline{g}}.$$

If  $-\Delta u_{\overline{g}} = v$ , by the above equation we have  $-\Delta v \ge 0$  in  $\Omega$  and v = 0 on  $\partial\Omega$ . It follows that v(x) > 0 in  $\Omega$ . Since  $-\Delta u_{\overline{g}} > 0$ , the function  $u_{\overline{g}}$  cannot have level sets of positive measure. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, inequality (16) and Lemma 2.2 we infer the existence of an increasing function  $\phi_1$  such that  $\overline{g} = \phi_1(u_{\overline{g}}^2)$ . Thus, part a) of the theorem follows with  $\phi(t) = \phi_1(t^2)$ .

If  $u_{\overline{g}}$  is the positive normalized eigenfunction corresponding to the minimizer  $\overline{g}$  of problem (8), inequality (16) holds for all  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ . Moreover,  $\Delta^2 u_{\overline{g}} = \Lambda \overline{g} u_{\overline{g}}$ . By this equation, the function  $u_{\overline{g}}$  cannot have level sets of positive measure on  $\{\overline{g}(x) > 0\}$ . If the

set  $\{\overline{g}(x) = 0\}$  has zero measure, by Lemma 2.1, inequality (16) and Lemma 2.2 we infer the existence of an increasing function  $\varphi_1$  such that  $\overline{g} = \varphi_1(u_{\overline{g}}^2)$ . Thus, in this case part b) of the theorem follows with  $\varphi(t) = \varphi_1(t^2)$ . Otherwise, setting  $E = \{\overline{g}(x) = 0\}$ , we define:

$$S = \sup_{x \in E} (u_{\overline{g}}(x))^2.$$

By using (16) one proves that  $(u_{\overline{g}}(x))^2 \geq S$  on  $\{\overline{g}(x) > 0\}$  a.e. For the proof of this result we refer to [8], Theorem 3.2. Since  $u_{\overline{g}}$  cannot have level sets of positive measure on  $\Omega \setminus E$ , by Lemma 2.1 we infer the existence of an increasing function  $\varphi_1: (S, \infty) \to [0, M]$  such that  $\varphi_1(u_{\overline{g}}^2)$  is a rearrangement of  $\overline{g}$  on  $\Omega \setminus E$ . Now we define an increasing function  $\varphi_2$  as

$$\varphi_2(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \le S \\ \varphi_1(t) & t > S. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\varphi_2(u_{\overline{g}}^2)$  is a rearrangement of  $\overline{g}$  on  $\Omega$ , by inequality (16) and Lemma 2.2 we infer that  $\overline{g} = \phi_2(u_{\overline{g}}^2)$ . Part b) of the theorem follows taking  $\varphi(t) = \varphi_2(t^2)$ . The theorem is proved.

**Remarks.** Theorem 3.2 gives some information on the location of the materials in order to minimize the first eigenvalue of problem (7). Indeed, since the associate eigenfunction  $u_{\overline{g}}$  vanishes on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $\overline{g} = \phi(u_{\overline{g}})$  with  $\phi$  increasing, the material with higher density must be located where  $u_{\overline{g}}$  is large, that is, far from  $\partial\Omega$ . The same remark holds for problem (8) in appropriate domains.

**Theorem 3.3** Let B be a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and let g be a minimizer of either problem (7) or problem (8) with  $\Omega = B$ . Then  $g = g^{\sharp}$ .

*Proof.* If g is a minimizer of problem (7) and if  $u = u_g$  is a corresponding positive eigenfunction we have

$$\lambda_g = \frac{\int_B (\Delta u)^2 dx}{\int_B g u^2 dx}.$$
 (17)

Put

$$-\Delta u = z. \tag{18}$$

Then

$$-\Delta z = \lambda_q g u.$$

Since u>0 in B and z=0 on  $\partial B$  we have z>0 in B. If  $z^{\sharp}$  is the Schwarz decreasing rearrangement of z then  $z^{\sharp}\in H^1_0(B)$  and

$$\int_{B} (\Delta u)^2 dx = \int_{B} (z^{\sharp})^2 dx. \tag{19}$$

Furthermore, if  $\overline{u}$  is the solution to the problem

$$-\Delta \overline{u} = z^{\sharp} \quad \text{in} \quad B, \quad \overline{u} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial B \tag{20}$$

then, by a result of G. Talenti ([15], Theorem 1, (iv)) we have

$$u^{\sharp} \leq \overline{u} \text{ in } B.$$
 (21)

By a well known inequality on rearrangements and (21) we find

$$\int_{B} gu^{2} dx \le \int_{B} g^{\sharp}(u^{\sharp})^{2} dx \le \int_{B} g^{\sharp}(\overline{u})^{2} dx. \tag{22}$$

Since  $(z^{\sharp})^2 = (\Delta \overline{u})^2$ , by (19), (17) and (22) we find

$$\lambda_g \geq \frac{\int_B (\Delta \overline{u})^2 dx}{\int_B g^{\sharp}(\overline{u})^2 dx} \geq \frac{\int_B (\Delta u_{g^{\sharp}})^2 dx}{\int_B g^{\sharp}(u_{g^{\sharp}})^2 dx} = \lambda_{g^{\sharp}}.$$

In the last step we have used the fact that  $\overline{u}$  is admissible (because  $\overline{u} = \Delta \overline{u} = 0$  on  $\partial B$ ) and the variational characterization of  $\lambda_{g^{\sharp}}$ . Since  $\lambda_g$  is a minimizer, we must have  $\lambda_{g^{\sharp}} = \lambda_g$  and equality must hold in (22). In particular,

$$\int_{B} g^{\sharp} (u^{\sharp})^{2} dx = \int_{B} g^{\sharp} (\overline{u})^{2} dx.$$

Recalling that g(x) is positive in a set of positive measure we have  $g^{\sharp}(x) > 0$  in a ball  $B(r_0)$  of radius  $r_0$  for some  $r_0 > 0$ . Therefore the previous equation and (21) imply that  $u^{\sharp}(0) = \overline{u}(0)$ . An inspection of the proof of Talenti's result [15] (see also [2]) yields  $u^{\sharp}(x) = \overline{u}(x)$  in all of B. Moreover by (18), (20) with  $\overline{u} = u^{\sharp}$ , and (6) we find

$$\int_{B} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{B} uz dx \le \int_{B} u^{\sharp} z^{\sharp} dx = \int_{B} |\nabla u^{\sharp}|^2 dx \le \int_{B} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

It follows that

$$\int_{B} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{B} |\nabla u^{\sharp}|^2 dx.$$

By Lemma 2.4 we get  $u(x) = u^{\sharp}(x)$  in B. Furthermore, by Theorem 3.2 a) we have  $g = \phi(u)$  for some increasing function  $\phi$ . This implies that g is radially symmetric and decreasing, hence  $g = g^{\sharp}$ . The theorem is proved in this case.

Let us come to problem (8). Putting  $-\Delta v = w$  and recalling that  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0$  on  $\partial B$  we find

$$\int_{B} w \ dx = -\int_{B} \Delta v \ dx = \int_{\partial B} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} d\sigma = 0.$$

This means that w(x) is sign changing in B. Let  $w^{\sharp}(x)$  be the signed Schwarz decreasing rearrangement of w(x) and let

$$-\Delta \overline{v} = w^{\sharp}$$
 in  $B$ ,  $\overline{v} = 0$  on  $\partial B$ .

Since  $\int_B w^{\sharp} dx = 0$  the result of Talenti [15] continues to hold as observed also in [2]. Hence,

$$v^{\sharp} \leq \overline{v} \text{ in } B.$$

Moreover, since

$$0 = -\int_{B} w \, dx = -\int_{B} w^{\sharp} dx = \int_{B} \Delta \overline{v} \, dx = \int_{\partial B} \frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial \nu} d\sigma = \frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial \nu} |\partial B|,$$

we have  $\overline{v} \in H_0^2(B)$ . The proof continues as in the previous case.

# References

- [1] Agmon, S.; Douglis, A.; Nirenberg, L. (1959) "Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. I," Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 12: 623–727.
- [2] Anedda, C. (2008) "Maximization and minimization in problems involving the bi-Laplacian", Preprint N. 1, Maths Department, Univ. Cagliari, Italy: 1–12.
- [3] Brothers, J.E.; Ziemer, W.P. (1988) "Minimal rearrangements of Sobolev functions", J. Reine Angew. Math., 384: 153–179.
- [4] Burton, G.R. (1989) "Variational problems on classes of rearrangements and multiple configurations for steady vortices", Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, 6(4): 295–319.
- [5] Burton, G.R.; McLeod, J.B. (1991) "Maximisation and minimisation on classes of rearrangements", *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **119**(3-4): 287–300.
- [6] Chanillo, S.; Grieser, D.; Imai, M.; Kurata, K.; Ohnishi, I. (2000) "Symmetry breaking and other phenomena in the optimization of eigenvalues for composite membranes", Commun. Math. Phys., 214: 315–337.
- [7] Cox, S.J.; McLaughlin, J.R. (1990) "Extremal eigenvalue problems for composite membranes, I, II", Appl. Math. Optim., 22: 153–167; 169–187.
- [8] Cuccu, F.; Emamizadeh, B.; Porru, G. (s.f.) "Optimization of the first eigenvalue in problems involving the p-Laplacian", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* To appear.
- [9] Cuccu, F.; Porru, G. (2003) "Optimization in eigenvalue problems", Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst. Ser. A Math. Anal., 10: 51–58.
- [10] Evans, L.; Gariepy, R.F. (1992) Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- [11] Gilbarg, D.; Trudinger, N.S. (1977) Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order. Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- [12] Grunau, H.C.; Sweers, G. (1999) "Sign change for the Green function and for the first eigenfunction of equations of clamped-plate type", *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **150**: 179–190.
- [13] Kawohl, B. (1985) Rearrangements and Convexity of Level Sets in PDE. Lectures Notes in Mathematics, 1150, Berlin.
- [14] Struwe, M. (1990) Variational Methods. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York.
- [15] Talenti, G. (1976) "Elliptic equations and rearrangements", Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, Ser. IV, 3: 697–718.